1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ULRICO S. ROSALES, State Bar No. 139809 ELIZABETH C. TIPPETT, State Bar No. 24779 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100 Email: urosales@wsgr.com, etippett@wsgr.com Attorneys for Defendants SAKHAWAT KHAN and ROOMY KHAN  IN THE UNITED STATE	NO CLOPICED	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	VILMA SERRALTA,	No. C 08-01427 EDL	
12		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
13	Plaintiff,	ORDER RE: PLAINTIFF'S ENTRY AND INSPECTION OF LAND AND TANGIBLE	
14	v. )	THINGS	
15			
16	SAKHAWAT KHAN; ROOMY KHAN; and	[Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c) and 34(a)(2); Civ.L.R. 7-	
17	DOES ONE through TEN, inclusive,	12]	
18	Defendants.		
19			
20	The parties, through their undersigned counsel, enter into the following stipulation:		
21	WHEREAS, on May 28, 2008, Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Conduct Limited		
22	Expedited Discovery and to Permit Entry and Inspection of Land and Tangible Things was heard		
23	before this Court;		
24	WHEREAS, on May 28, 2008, the parties met and conferred on the issues raised by		
25	Plaintiff's motion and memorialized a number of agreements on the record;		
26	WHEREAS, the Court ordered the parties to submit a stipulation reflecting the		
27	agreements reached between the parties and to meet and confer on any remaining matters;		
28			
	1		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE:		

WHEREAS, on June 4, 2008, the parties met and conferred to resolve any remaining issues between them;

WHEREAS, Defendants contend that the information discovered through the inspection is confidential and seek to protect their privacy and security;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the parties as follows:

- 1. By no later than **July 12, 2008**, at a date and time to be mutually agreed upon by the parties, Plaintiff is to be allowed entry upon Defendants' premises located at 168 Isabella Avenue, Atherton, California (the "premises") for purposes of inspection, measurement, photography, and/or videography of the premises and tangible items located thereon (the "inspection"), subject to the following limitations:
- a. Limitation on disclosure of information. All information discovered through the inspection, whether or not recorded or documented during the inspection (the "Information"), shall be used for litigation purposes only, and may not be used for any other purpose whatsoever, including, but not limited to, any business or commercial purpose or for dissemination to the media or public. Plaintiff and Defendants shall only be permitted to disclose such Information as follows:
- i. as may be reasonably necessary for the prosecution of this litigation by Plaintiff or defense of this litigation by Defendants, including to her or their legal counsel, consultants, and any others who may be designated as expert witnesses, and their respective paralegal, secretarial and clerical employees who are assisting in the preparation and trial of this litigation. Any person given access to the Information shall not make copies, duplicates, extracts, summaries or descriptions of such material, or any portion thereof except for use in connection with this litigation, and each such copy, duplicate, extract, summary or description is to be treated in accordance with this Section 1a. All such consultants and any others who may be designated as expert witnesses shall be required to indicate in writing their agreement not to use or disclose the Information to any other persons, entities or third parties, except as provided in this subsection a;

- ii. At trial, subject to any protections or limitations ordered by the Court, including *motions in limine* granted by the Court;
- iii In support of or in opposition to any motions, stipulations, expert reports, or any other matters or papers that the parties may file in this litigation, provided that such Information shall be filed under seal pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5.

Within five (5) years after a final non-appealable judgment or settlement, Plaintiff's counsel shall destroy such Information consistent with the retention policy of Plaintiff's counsel. Plaintiff and Plaintiff's expert witnesses and consultants shall return all Information to Plaintiff's counsel within sixty (60) days of a final non-appealable judgment or settlement.

- b. **Limitation on duration of inspection.** The inspection shall last no more than four (4) total hours. The duration of the inspection may be extended, however, upon a finding of good cause by the Court.
- c. Limitation on number of individuals in attendance. This issue remains in dispute, per the Disputed Items listed below. However, the parties have agreed that Plaintiff and her agents shall be at all times accompanied by at least one of Defendants' attorneys, and in no event shall Plaintiff and her agents be in more than two rooms at once.
- open any doors, including any closet doors. To the extent the opening of any doors is contemplated herein, Defendants' counsel shall open such doors for Plaintiff. Plaintiff shall not make physical contact with any tangible items in Defendants' home except to the extent reasonably necessary to conduct the inspection consistent with the scope and parameters set forth herein, including without limitation, to measure items of furniture, windows, fixtures or other physical structures; to navigate within the areas contemplated herein; and to inspect cleaning supplies or equipment. In particular, Plaintiff shall not touch Defendants' fragile or valuable items located on walls, shelves or desks.
- e. **Security.** Plaintiff shall make all reasonable efforts not to focus on locks, latches, alarms, security systems, or door jambs, or any tangible items she did not clean or maintain.

- f. Limitation on closets inspected. Plaintiff shall only inspect those closets containing linens, or cleaning supplies and equipment. In addition, Plaintiff shall have access to one (1) closet that contains Defendants' clothing, which shall be agreed upon by the Parties prior to the inspection. Plaintiff shall not inspect closets containing a small amount of linens where such closet is otherwise devoted to storage or clothing. Plaintiff shall limit any videography and photography of such closets to plain view images.
  - g. Limitation on videography of the exterior. This issue is in dispute.
- h. Limitation on inspection of tangible items contained in certain enclosures. Plaintiff may inspect, photograph, and videograph such tangible items she allegedly cleaned including for example dishes, cups, glasses, cutlery, cookware in the areas on the first floor of the main residence, the patio, and in the guest house so designated by Plaintiff on the floor plans to be produced. Plaintiff shall limit any videography or photography of such enclosures to plain view images.
- 2. Defendants shall provide Plaintiff with a floor plan of their main residence and the guest house located on their premises no later than seven (7) calendar days after the date of execution of this Stipulation. Plaintiff shall endeavor, to the best of her ability, to mark those rooms and areas represented on the floor plan that she cleaned, maintained, or otherwise worked in as part of her job duties, and shall provide a copy of such marked floor plan to Defendants five (5) days prior to the agreed upon inspection date. Plaintiff's inspection shall be confined to the rooms and areas designated on the floor plan, but in no event shall it exceed the scope of the inspection detailed herein. The parties agree that the copy of the marked floor plan, and any information provided thereon by Plaintiff will only be used to demarcate the scope of the inspection, and is not admissible for any other purpose in this action.
- 3. Five (5) days prior to the agreed upon inspection date, Plaintiff shall provide the name and affiliation of the consultant or expert, if any, accompanying Plaintiff at the inspection.
- 4. The parties shall mutually agree upon a third-party service to conduct the videography and/or photography during the inspection.

## Case4:08-cv-01427-CW Document40 Filed06/06/08 Page5 of 5 1 DATED: June 4, 2008 By: /s/ Christina Chung CHRISTINA CHUNG 2 Attorney for Plaintiff 3 DATED: June 4, 2008 By: /s/ Elizabeth Tippett ELIZABETH TIPPETT 4 Attorney for Defendants 5 6 **ORDER** 7 Having considered the parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, 8 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. 9 Furthermore, having considered the respective arguments of the Parties, and good cause 10 having been found, the Court Orders as follows: 11 12 1. Plaintiff may bring a total of six individuals to the inspection: Plaintiff, a videographer, two consultants, and two lawyers. 13 14 2. Plaintiff shall be permitted to inspect the garage and the basement of the guesthouse. At Defendants' option, the garage can be empty when inspected or the license plates of the 15 vehicles may be covered up. 16 3. To the extent reasonably feasible, any exterior or interior images of any exit doors 17 located on Defendants' residence shall be taken in such a manner that it does not reveal the location of the door relative to other areas of the home or property. 18 19 IT IS SO ORDERED. 20 21 DATED: June 6, 2008 22 Hon. Elizabeth D. Laporte 23 United States Magistrate Judge 24 25 26

27

28